

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,637	03/09/2004	Edward H. Arnold JR.	13006.00021	2227
7590 05/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Steven Thrasher			BLANKENSHIP, GREGORY A	
391 Sandhill Dr. Richardson, TX 75080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Additional Fire Pools			3612	
		DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/796,637	ARNOLD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greg Blankenship	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,8-11 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\</b> /				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or the certained copies not receive	<b>u</b> .				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/796,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the pull-portion "integrally fitted into a vehicle portion" and "mounted in a motor vehicle dash" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, lines 7 and 8, "windshield" should be -window--;

Claim 17, line 4, "or" should be removed.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,637

Art Unit: 3612

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

4. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 depends from itself. The examiner suggests changing the dependency to claim 11.

The claim has been read as though it depends from claim 11.

Claim 13 is not clearly understood since "actuator switch" lacks proper antecedent basis.

The examiner believes the claim is supposed to depend from claim 12 that introduces the "actuator switch".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunert et al. (4,581,276).

Kunert et al. disclose a quick-remove system for a windshield. The window (1) is attached to the window frame (3) by window packing (35). A cord (32) removes a portion of the window packing (35) when pulled. This allows for removal of the window. A seal member

Art Unit: 3612

- (40) is disposed about the exterior portion of the channel defined by the space between the window (1) and the window frame edge (3'). Figure 2 shows a step of the removal process. A drill (14), which meets the limitations of the claimed "mechanical assistance system" and the "removal system", is coupled to the cord.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rieth et al. (DE 3933332 A1).

Rieth et al. disclose a quick-remove system for a vehicle window. The window (2) is attached to the window frame (3) by window packing (8). An aramide fiber cord (11) removes a portion of the window packing (8) when pulled. This allows for removal of the window. The hooks (14), which meet the limitations of the claimed "mechanical assistance system" and the "removal system", are coupled to the cord (11).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rieth et al. (DE 3933332 A1) in view of Deane (6,665,995).

Rieth et al. does not disclose the claimed material for the cord.

Deane teaches a fiberglass cord (24) used with a window structure.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the cord of Rieth et al. out of fiberglass to provide a low cost alternative to aramide fiber while still having sufficient strength to accomplish the desired cutting of the window packing.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 4 and 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 12-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claim 17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter of claim 17 is the actuator that is coupled between the window and the window frame, which is not found in the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Blankenship whose telephone number is 571-272-6656.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3612

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

### Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(571) 273-6656, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label "FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY", "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

gab May 2, 2005

GREGORY BLANKENSHIP PATENT EXAMINER